

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,180	10/03/2003	William L. Black	2063.005800	2063.005800 2309	
23720	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			GILMAN, AI	GILMAN, ALEXANDER	
HOUSTON,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		
•			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/679,180	BLACK ET AL.	and		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	— (;)		
	Alexander D. Gilman	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	'ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		nerits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contrac	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PACE-12005) O2/02/2004	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)		

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rafert.

With regard to claim 1, Rafert (US 6,497,659) discloses (Fig. 1, 2, 3, 8, 14) an interconnect for a location dependent device (10), comprising:

at least one bus (12 or) adapted to provide at least one bus signal to the location dependent device , and

a plurality of electrical contacts (24, 26 or 56, 58, 60) external to the location dependent device and capable of providing a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed.

With regard to claim 8, Rafert discloses that at least one circuit element (22) deployed intermediate the first electrical contact and the at least one second electrical contact.

With regard to claims 9, 10, Rafert discloses that the at least one circuit element comprises at least one of a resistor (40), a capacitor (22), a voltage reference circuit, and a trace (406) having a selected resistance.

With regard to claims 11, 12, Rafert discloses control signal and a sensor.

Claims 1, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson, Jr et al.

With regard to claim 1, Johnson, Jr et al. (US 5,435,503) discloses (Fig. 3) an interconnect for a location dependent device (30), comprising:

Art Unit: 2833

at least one bus (MUX) adapted to provide at least one bus signal to the location dependent device, and

a plurality of electrical contacts (contacts disposed in 22-24, 27) external to the location dependent device and capable of providing a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed.

Claims 1, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takagi et al

With regard to claims 1, 13 Takagi (US 6,441,748) discloses (Fig. 4, 1, 2) an interconnect for a location
dependent device (1), comprising:

at least one bus (15, 16) adapted to provide at least one bus signal to the location dependent device, and

a plurality of electrical contacts (contacts of 20) external to the location dependent device and capable of providing a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al in view of Arratia.

Takagi et al do not explicitly disclose the claimed features of the contacts.

Arratia (US Re. 36,317) disclose a first electrical contact (64) capable of providing a reference', and at least one second electrical contact (66) electrically coupled to the first electrical contact, the second electrical contact being adapted to contact a corresponding electrical contact on the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed,

Art Unit: 2833

wherein the at least one second electrical contact is at least one of a socket (70) wherein the first electrical contact is adapted to contact a corresponding electrical contact on the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide in Takagi et al a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed, as taught by Arratia, to verify status of the connection With regard to claim 6, Takagi et al when modified by Arratia discloses (Arratia) that a tirst electrical contact capable of providing a reference (using 76); and at least one second electrical contact optionally electrically coupled to the first electrical contact, the at least one second electrical contact being adapted to contact a corresponding electrical contact on the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed. With regard to claim 7. Takagi et al when modified by Arratia discloses (Arratia) that at least one fuse (16) deployed intermediate the tirst electrical contact and the at least one second electrical contact such that the at least one second electrical contact is capable of being optionally electrically coupled to the first electrical contact.

Claims 13,14, 15, 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flick in view of Arratia.

With regard to claim 13, Flick (US 6,771,167) discloses a system, comprising'.

at least one bus (12) capable of transmitting at least one bus signal;

a plurality of interconnects, each being capable of receiving the bus signal from the bus and providing the bus signals to at least one location dependent device (23) associated with the intercormect; and

a plurality of electrical contacts (inherently),

Flick do not disclose providing a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed.

Arratia (US Re. 36,317) disclose providing a signal (using 28) indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed

Art Unit: 2833

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide in Flick a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed, as taught by Arratia, to verify status of the connection With regard to claims 14,15,17 Flick when modified by Arratia, disclose all odf the limitations as applied to respective claims 2,5,6 above.

Claims 13, 16, 18, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flick in view of Rafert

With regard to claim 13, Flick (US 6,771,167) discloses a system, comprising'.

at least one bus (12) capable of transmitting at least one bus signal;

a plurality of interconnects, each being capable of receiving the bus signal from the bus and providing the bus signals to at least one location dependent device (23) associated with the intercornect; and

a plurality of electrical contacts (inherently),

Flick do not disclose providing a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed.

Rafert (US 6,497,659) disclose providing a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed (Fig. 2, 3)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide in Flick a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when the location dependent device is installed, as taught by Rafert, to verify proper connection of the respective sensor (Rafert, col. 1, lines 60-62)

With regard to claims 16, 18, Flick when modified by Rafert, disclose all odf the limitations as applied to respective claims 9, 11 above.

With regard to claim 19, Flick when modified by Rafert, disclose (Flick) a controller(28).

With regard to claim 20, Flick when modified by Rafert, disclose (Flick) a location dependent sensor (23) With regard to claim 21, Flick when modified by Rafert, disclose (Flick) an automobile.

Claim 13, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flick in view of Chen.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to claim 13, Flick (US 6,771,167) discloses a system, comprising'.

at least one bus (12) capable of transmitting at least one bus signal;

a plurality of interconnects, each being capable of receiving the bus signal from the

bus and providing the bus signals to at least one location dependent device (23) associated with

the intercormect; and

a plurality of electrical contacts (inherently).

Flick do not disclose providing a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device

when the location dependent device is installed.

Chen (US 6,775,681) disclose providing a signal (Fig. 4) indicative of a physical location of the location

dependent device when the location dependent device is installed

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to provide in Flick a signal indicative of a physical location of the location dependent device when

the location dependent device is installed, as taught by Chen, to verify status of the connection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/02/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that detecting signal provided by Arratia, Chen and Rafert is not a signal indicattive of a physical location of a location dependent device when the location dependent device is inlalled.

However, the specification does not provide the information which specific features of the contacts make them capable to provide signal indicattive of a physical location of a location dependent device when the location dependent device is inlalled. The Arratia, Chen and Rafertpresent interconnect' features claimed.

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Art Unit: 2833

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the prior art were recited for strucutural features claimed of the interconnect. That features are common devices transforming detecting signals irrespective of an area of implementation.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander D. Gilman whose telephone number is 571 272-2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571 272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2833

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

05/13/2005

ALEXANDER GILMAN DRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8